



**Todd Thompson** stated that he has no objection to the variance but doesn't want to see a "real estate, *For Sale*, sign" in the yard next week. **Thompson** also added that many of the lots to the west are smaller than 100 ft. maybe 60, 40 ft. **Haaxima** questions when the 100 ft. requirement went into affect. **Janet M. Gagnon** addressed the board and stated that she loves Aunt Hazel and doesn't want to hurt her, but she does not want to see a house go up between her and the existing neighbor. **Gagnon** wishes she and the existing neighbor could purchase and split the lot in question but Aunt Hazel will not sell it.

**BOARD DISCUSSION:** The house to the right (Gagnon) has city water,- but not city sewer, sewer is available on the other side of Long Rapids Rd. **Dekett** suggested that they check to see if they could get a contractor to bring city sewer and water to the lot then there wouldn't be a need for a variance. **Ed Robin** stated that age is not a reason to give a variance, the point is she made a lot more non-conforming than it was, in April of this year, and now wants a variance. **Robin.** We would be opening a door we don't want to open if we approve this variance. There are other options, getting water and sewer to the lot. **Mischley** states he agrees with Ed. The reason there is a 100 ft. needed is to allow for drain fields and septic systems. **Werda** states that the square footage of the lot well exceeds the 20,000. sq. ft. requirement, and **Motions that the board approve the variance. Supported by Irma Schuelke.**

**Reasons 1. Will not change the character of the neighborhood.**

**2 Meets or exceeds the Sq. Footage requirements.**

**3. Relates only to the property under control of the appellant**

**Robin** states we are opening a door we don't want to open. This would set a precedent for other cases. I do not see a legitimate reason to approve this case. There are other options. **Mischley** agrees with Ed. **Dekett** states he sees Ed's point.

**Motion to approve case Z-03-10 goes to a vote:**

**Robin No Werda Yes Irma Yes Mischley No Dekett. No.**

**Motion to Approve Case Z-03-10 DENIED.**

**Next meeting** To be scheduled.

Meeting Adjourned 8:20 P.M.

Respectfully submitted, Lori Werda, secretary ZBA